

## Individualised Homoeopathic Management of Diabetic Foot Ulcer in a Patient with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Case Report

Anvita Sinha,<sup>1\*</sup> Mansi Srivastava,<sup>2</sup> Subrata Rauth<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.D. (Post Graduate Trainee), Department of Repertory, <sup>2</sup> M.D. (Post Graduate Trainee), Department of Materia Medica, <sup>3</sup> H.O.D. Department of Anatomy, Mahesh Bhattacharyya Homoeopathic medical College and Hospital, Howrah, West Bengal, India.

### ABSTRACT:

Diabetic Foot Ulcers are co-morbid conditions that occur as a product of poorly controlled hyperglycaemic levels. A 54-year-old male patient with a 5-year history of poorly controlled Diabetes Mellitus presented with a chronic non-healing diabetic foot ulcer from 4 months. The ulcer showed slow granulation and recurrent discharge despite routine care. After detailed case taking and repertorization, the individualised homoeopathic medicine *Sulphur* in LM potencies (0/1 to 0/4) was prescribed. During follow-up, steady improvement was observed with reduction in discharge and progressive ulcer contraction, leading to complete healing within 3 months. As part of local supportive care, a calendula mother tincture dressing was used and may have had a positive effect on wound healing. This case reveals how individualised homoeopathic treatment helps in controlling hyperglycemia and associated co-morbid conditions.

**KEYWORDS:** Diabetic Foot Ulcer (DFU), Diabetes Mellitus (DM), Homeopathy, *Sulphur*.

Received: 25.01.2026

Accepted: 02.02.2026

Published: 22.02.2026



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) © 2026 International Journal of AYUSH Case Reports | Published by Tanaya Publication, Jamnagar.

QR Code



### \*Corresponding Author:

**Dr. Anvita Sinha**

M.D.(Post Graduate Trainee), Dept. of Repertory, Mahesh Bhattacharyya Homoeopathic medical College and Hospital, West Bengal, India

Email: [sinha.anvita311@gmail.com](mailto:sinha.anvita311@gmail.com)

### INTRODUCTION:

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is defined as a group of metabolic pathologies characterized by compromised insulin production and/or function, leading to hyperglycemia. In fact, as a consequence

of hyperglycaemia, diabetic patients are at increased risk for comorbid conditions affecting several organs.<sup>[1]</sup> One of the main consequences of diabetes is the impairment of self-repairing abilities. Delayed wound

healing, particularly in the form of chronic diabetic foot ulcers, affects a significant proportion of individuals with diabetes. Globally, the point prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers is approximately 6.3% among people with diabetes, with an estimated lifetime risk of 15–34% and an annual incidence around 2% per year. These ulcers are associated with high rates of infection, recurrence, and lower-limb amputations, underscoring the burden of impaired wound healing in the diabetic population. [2,3]

Hyperglycaemia correlates with stiffer blood vessels which cause slower circulation and microvascular dysfunction, causing *reduced tissue oxygenation*. The hyperglycaemic environment itself can compromise *leucocyte function*. Peripheral neuropathy can lead to *numbness* of the area and reduced ability to feel pain, which can lead to chronicization of wounds that are not immediately noticed and properly treated. [4] In diabetes, the normal physiological process of wound healing is disrupted due to impaired inflammatory response, reduced formation of granulation tissue, delayed keratinocyte migration, defective extracellular matrix formation, and poor collagen synthesis. Persistent hyperglycemia leads to poor circulation, reduced oxygen and nutrient supply to the wound, and an increased risk of infection. As a result, the coordinated phases of inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling fail to progress effectively, causing delayed or non-healing wounds. [5,6] Apart from persistent hyperglycaemia, risk factors

for DFU include diabetic polyneuropathy, PAOD, foot deformities, diabetes duration, elderly age, male gender, repeated trauma etc. [7]

Current treatment and management include debridement, compression therapy, biopsies to evaluate the cause of the non-healing wound, cryotherapy, antibiotics and topical wound medication, adjunctive therapies such as oxygen and pressure therapy, dressing, nutritional support and lifestyle recommendations etc. [8]

#### **CASE REPORT:**

A male patient aged 54 years came to OPD no. 4 with complaint of an unhealing wound on his left leg since 4 months. [Figure 2] He has been a known case of *Diabetes Mellitus* since the past 5 years, his sugar levels remained uncontrolled despite of glycemia-controlling *Ayurvedic* medications.

#### **Details of Present Complaint:**

The wound was constantly oozing and the patient was getting it dressed by professional care on every alternate day but still it was not able to heal up. Though it was painless, but on palpation he could feel little pain.

#### **Location:**

The wound was located along the entire shin, covering the ankle superior part. Look was like local cellulitis but there was a lingering maintaining cause i.e. high blood sugar.

#### **Character of discharge:**

oozing non sticky translucent fluid

#### **Size of the ulcer:**

According to the The University of Texas Diabetic Foot Classification System, [9] the depth of the wound is Grade 2 (i.e. the wound penetrates to tendon/capsule) and associated complications is stage B (it includes infection).

### History of Present Illness

- Cause: There was a minor injury that had flared up to the present state.
- Mode of onset: Insidious
- Current treatment method adopted: An array of broad-spectrum antibiotics and regular dressings

### Physical Generals

Appearance: Obese  
Appetite: Normal  
Hunger: Can't tolerate; dyspepsia if does so  
Thirst: dryness of mouth with unquenchable thirst  
Desire: sweets, fatty food, chocolates  
Aversion: sour food, milk and dairy products  
Sleep: Undisturbed.  
Thermal reaction- Hot  
Urine: Occasional burning, holding urine is difficult at night  
Stool: Satisfactory. Has to rush immediately after getting up to void the stool.  
Perspiration: All over the body; profuse

### Mental Generals

Religious, says God has cursed him with this disease.

Patient gets angered easily. Always wants to talk. In any difficult situations, talking out is his best solution. Loves company.

### Provisional Diagnosis:

**E11.621:** Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus with foot ulcer

### Analysis & Evaluation of Symptoms

- **MIASMATIC ANALYSIS:**  
**Dominant miasm:** For this case, since there is oozing of mucopurulent discharges and there is pain, so it covers the psoricmiasm.  
**Fundamental miasm:** Unhealed Diabetic wounds represent destruction and sloughing, delayed immune response so fundamentally it covers Syphilitic miasm.  
Overall, the disease is '*Psora-Syphilitic*'.
- The main symptoms for the case considerable for repertorization are as follows:
  1. Religious affections
  2. Anger from contradiction
  3. Thirst for large quantities
  4. Anxiety health about
  5. Aversion to sour food, milk
  6. Desire for sweets
  7. Appetite can't tolerate
  8. Profuse perspiration

### THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTION AND FOLLOW UP:

After repertorization of the case, the top 4 remedies are Sepia (16/7), Sulphur (17/7) Arsenic (14/7) & Bryonia (15/7).

[Figure-1] Thermal state of the patient is 'HOT' so Sulphur is overpowering all the other medicines. Furthermore, the history of the patient reveals suppression of the skin ailments so it confirms our medicine. So, the final choice of our medicine is 'Sulphur' and the 50 millesimal potency was chosen to avoid any immediate aggravations.

FIRST PRESCRIPTION: *Sulphur 0/1 16 doses* was prescribed.

The patient was asked to take the medicine by pouring 5ml in 100ml of water and drink 1 table spoon every alternate day. The medicine was administered in empty stomach and was advised for proper dietary management to control the diabetes and breathing exercises and also dressing with Calendula Q every alternate day.

He was advised to stop the *Ayurvedic* treatment he was taking with respect to treatment of Diabetes Mellitus-2.

**Table 1: Differential diagnosis for Diabetic Foot Ulcer:**

Disease	Common Features	Distinct Features
1. Peripheral arterial disease	Pain, numbness and poor healing	Causation- arterial occlusion
2. Pressure ulcers	Wound appearance	The site of predilection (small and friction site)
3. Diabetic foot infections	Weeping wound	Fever, local intense pain
4. Cellulitis	Wound	Underlying bacterial infection easily detected by tests.
5. Osteomyelitis	Deformed limbs	Bone infection, severe pain
6. Kaposi sarcoma	Skin lesion with open wounds	It is a cancer; caused by HHV 8 (detectable)

**Table-2: Follow Up Timeline:**

Date	Observation	Prescription
28.01.25	Wound had reduced in size and sloughing was visible. Granulation tissue was building. [Figure-3]	<b><i>Sulphur 0/2</i></b> 16 doses every alternate day for a month
28.02.25	Wound greatly reduced in size and suffering minimized [Figure-4]	<b><i>Sulphur 0/3</i></b> 16 doses every alternate day for a month
28.03.25	Patient improving	<b><i>Sulphur 0/4</i></b> 16 doses every alternate day. Patient was asked to get his sugar levels estimated.

**Table 3: Analysis of blood glucose levels before, during and after treatment:**

Date	Fasting Blood Sugar(mg/dl)	Post Prandial Blood Sugar(mg/dl)
18.12.24 [Figure-5]	147	250
05.02.25 [ Figure-6]	120	224
05.03.25 [ Figure-7]	110	150

**Table-4: Modified Naranjo Criteria <sup>[13]</sup>(for assessing clinical outcome in Homoeopathic case reports)**

Domains	Yes	No	Not sure
Was there an improvement in the main symptom or condition for which the homeopathic medicine was prescribed?	+2		
Did the clinical improvement occur within a plausible time frame relative to the drug intake?	+1		
Was there an initial aggravation of symptoms?		0	
Did the effect encompass more than the main symptom or condition (i. e. were other symptoms ultimately improved or changed)?	+1		
Did over all well- being improve?	+1		
Direction of cure: did some symptoms improve in the opposite order of the development of symptoms of the disease?	+1		
Direction of cure: did at least two of the following aspects apply to the order of improvement of symptoms:–from organs of more importance to those of less importance? –from deeper to more superficial aspects of the individual? –from the top downwards?			0
Did “old symptoms” (defined as non-seasonal and non-cyclical symptoms that were previously thought to have resolved) reappear temporarily during the course of improvement?			0
Are there alternate causes(other than the medicine) that—with a high probability— could have caused the improvement?(Consider known course of disease, other forms of treatment, and other clinically relevant interventions)	+1		
Was the health improvement confirmed by any objective evidence? (e.g., laboratory test, clinical observation etc.)	+2		
Did repeat dosing, if conducted, create similar clinical improvement?			+1

**PICTORIAL RECORDS OF THE CASE:**

Remedy	Sulph	Sep	Bry	Ars	Calc	Lyc	Sil	Verat	Nux-v	Nat-c	Nat-m	Phos	Chin	Carb-v	Ign	Puls	Am-c	Calc-s	Carbn-s	Ferr
<b>Totality</b>	17	16	15	14	14	14	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	10	10	10	9	9	9	9
<b>Symptoms Covered</b>	7	7	7	7	6	5	6	4	7	6	5	5	4	5	5	5	6	5	5	4
[Kent ] [Mind]Religious affections (see Anxiety, Despair, Fear):	3	2	0	2	2	2	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	2	1	0	1	1
[Kent ] [Mind]Anger, irascibility (see Irritability, Quarrelsome):Contradiction, from:	0	3	2	1	0	3	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	2
[Kent ] [Stomach]Thirst:Large quantities:For:	3	0	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
[Kent ] [Mind]Anxiety:Health, about:	1	2	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
[Kent ] [Stomach]Aversion:Milk:	2	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	3	0	1	0	2	2	2	1	2	1	0
[Kent ] [Stomach]Desires:Sweets:	3	2	2	1	2	3	0	0	1	2	1	0	3	2	0	0	2	2	0	0
[Kent ] [Stomach]Appetite:Ravenous,canine,excessive:	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	1	2	3	3	3	3	3
[Kent ] [Perspiration]Profuse:	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	3	3	0	2	1	1	3	3

**Figure 1: Repertorial Totality(Homopath Zomeo Elite: Kent's Repertory)**



**Figure 2: Initial Visit: 1 (28.12.2024)**



**Figure 3: Follow Up (1): 28.01.2025**



**Figure 4: Follow-Up (2): 28.02.2025**

**GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL**  
**M.B.H. MEDICAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL, DRAINAGE CANAL ROAD, DOOMURJALA, HOWRAH -711 104.**  
**DEPARTMENT OF PATHOLOGY & MICROBIOLOGY**  
**BLOOD BIOCHEMISTRY REPORT**

NAME : SAMIRAN MALLICK			DATE : 18.12.2024
AGE/SEX : 55/M			
PARAMETER	RESULT	UNIT	EXPECTED VALUE (Adult)
Blood Sugar ( Fasting )	147	mg/dl	70 – 110.
Sugar (PP)	250	mg/dl	Up to 140.

  
 ( H.O.D., PATHOLOGY )

**Figure 5: Blood glucose levels at baseline**

**GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL**  
**M.B.H. MEDICAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL, DRAINAGE CANAL ROAD, DOOMURJALA, HOWRAH -711 104.**  
**DEPARTMENT OF PATHOLOGY & MICROBIOLOGY**  
**BLOOD BIOCHEMISTRY REPORT**

NAME : SAMIRAN MALLICK			DATE : 05.02.2025
AGE/SEX : 55/M			
PARAMETER	RESULT	UNIT	EXPECTED VALUE (Adult)
Blood Sugar ( Fasting )	120	mg/dl	70 – 110.
Sugar (PP)	224	mg/dl	Up to 140.

  
 ( H.O.D., PATHOLOGY )

**Figure 6: Blood glucose levels during treatment**

**GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL**  
**M.B.H. MEDICAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL, DRAINAGE CANAL ROAD, DOOMURJALA, HOWRAH -711 104.**  
**DEPARTMENT OF PATHOLOGY & MICROBIOLOGY**  
**BLOOD BIOCHEMISTRY REPORT**

NAME : SAMIRAN MALLICK			DATE : 05.03.2025
AGE/SEX : 55/M			
PARAMETER	RESULT	UNIT	EXPECTED VALUE (Adult)
Blood Sugar ( Fasting )	110	mg/dl	70 – 110.
Sugar (PP)	150	mg/dl	Up to 140.

  
 ( H.O.D., PATHOLOGY )

**Figure 7: Blood glucose levels at the end of treatment**

## DISCUSSION:

Thus, by the above case it is evident that in chronic non-healing ulcers with limited response to standard care, homoeopathy holds the light to the way through individualistic approach.

Here, it is important to mention that the patient's previous plan of treatment through *Ayurvedic* medications yielded no positive impact on his hyperglycaemic levels so the treatment was stopped before beginning of our regimen. So, no concurrent *Allopathic* treatment was in use.

*Calendula* dressing was used as supportive local care and may have influenced wound healing in a positive way. Though a single case is not suffice to conclude but there are numerous works which further affirm the point.

Alongside conventional wound-care strategies, complementary approaches such as homoeopathy have been explored in the management of diabetic foot ulcers. A recent case report reported clinical improvement in a chronic nine-year diabetic foot ulcer following individualized *Lachesismutus* in millesimal potencies, assessed using ORIDL and MONARCH scores.<sup>[10]</sup> A prospective observational study observed improvement in ulcer assessment scores and glycaemic parameters with predefined homoeopathic medicines, including *Silicea*, *Sulphur* and *Lycopodium*, while acknowledging supportive wound care as a potential confounding factor.<sup>[11]</sup> A randomized controlled pilot study found no statistically significant difference between *Calendula* dressing and normal

saline when used as adjuncts to individualized homoeopathic treatment, with improvement observed in both groups.<sup>[12]</sup>

A clinical case report is considered a weak level of evidence for establishing causal relationship. But keeping in view the highly individualistic approach of homeopathy and the resources involved, we need to strengthen the reporting of case records, one such tool is MONARCH Score. The case improvement is evaluated using MONARCH scoring (Table: 04) where a score of '09' after 30 days of treatment was scored by the case. A score of 09 indicates a 'definite' association between homoeopathic medicine and the observed outcome.

To provide some context, here are the score ranges and their corresponding interpretations:

Definite: Total score  $\geq 9$

Probable: Total score 5-8

Possible: Total score 1-4

Doubtful: Total score  $\leq 0$

## CONCLUSION:

Dr. Hahnemann says, "The sum of all the symptoms in each individual case of disease must be the sole indication, the sole guide to direct us to the choice of remedy".<sup>[14]</sup>(Aphorism:18)

This proves that systematic approach with proper repertorization technique is a reliable way to prescribe homoeopathic medicine. The patient shows remarkable improvement in the skin symptoms, removal of the whole set of perceptible signs and symptoms of the disease. Also, the steady reduction of the sugar levels indicates the alteration

of the vital force which, by law, is the true meaning of cure.

**Limitation of study:**

A single case is not sufficed to conclude the role of individualised homeopathic treatment in diabetic foot ulcer. The non availability of modern test like HbA1c, wound swab cultures at a government setting hampers critical analysis.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank the patient for their cooperation and consent.

**Conflict of interest:** The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

**Guarantor:** The corresponding author is the guarantor of this article and its contents.

**Source of support:** None

**How to cite this article:**

Anvita Sinha, Mansi Srivastava, Subrata Rauth. Individualised Homoeopathic Management of Diabetic Foot Ulcer in a Patient with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Case Report. Int. J. AYUSH Case Reports. 2026; 10(1-A): 1-10.

**REFERENCES:**

1. Dinh T, Elder S, Veves A. Delayed wound healing in diabetes: Considering future treatments. *Diabetes Manag.* 2011;1:509–519
2. Zhang P, Lu J, Jing Y, Tang S, Zhu D, Bi Y. Global epidemiology of diabetic foot ulceration: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Med.* 2017;49(2):106–116.

3. Armstrong DG, Boulton AJM, Bus SA. Diabetic foot ulcers and their recurrence. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376(24):2367–2375
4. Greenhalgh D.G. Wound healing and diabetes mellitus. *Clin. Plast. Surg.* 2003; 30:37–45.
5. Brown D.L., Kane C.D., Chernausek S.D., Greenhalgh D.G. Differential expression and localization of insulin-like growth factors I and II in cutaneous wounds of diabetic and nondiabetic mice. *Am. J. Pathol.* 1997; 151:715–724.
6. Semenza G.L. HIF-1: Mediator of physiological and pathophysiological responses to hypoxia. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 2000;88:1474–1480
7. Researchgate.net. 2025 [cited 2025 May 7]. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303909074/figure/tbl1/AS:614078250774532@1523419072352/Risk-factors-of-diabetic-foot-ulceration-The-Pathophysiological-Process-Is-a-Combination.png> [Accessed on Dec 16 2025].
8. Han G, Ceilley R. *Chronic Wound Healing: A Review of Current Management and Treatments.* *Adv Ther.* 2017;34(3):599–610.
9. The University of Texas Diabetic Foot Classification System : Presenter: Lee C Rogers, DPM FFPM RCPS (Glas) Cited from: <https://www.woundscanada.ca/doclink/wcc-summer-2023-v21n1-final-p-62-64-> [ Assessed on Dec 20 2025]
10. Singh L, Manoharan R, Shaw N, Ansari S. Diabetic foot ulcer treated with the homoeopathic medicine *Lachesismutus* in millesimal

- potencies: A case report. *Indian J Res Homoeopathy*. 2024; 18:147–153.
11. Nayak C, Singh V, Singh K, et al. A prospective observational study to ascertain the role of homeopathic therapy in the management of diabetic foot ulcer. *Indian J Res Homoeopathy*. 2012; 6:22–31.
12. Ponnampalani HB, Lamba CD, Oberai P, et al. *Calendula* mother tincture vs normal saline for ulcer dressing as an add-on to individualized homoeopathic intervention in the management of diabetic foot ulcer: A randomized controlled pilot study. *Indian J Res Homoeopathy*. 2020; 14:233–241.
13. Lamba CD, Gupta VK et al. Evaluation of the Modified Naranjo Criteria for Assessing Causal Attribution of Clinical Outcome to Homeopathic Intervention as Presented in Case Reports; *Thieme*; 2020; 109:191–197.
14. Hahnemann S, Sarkar BK, Dudgeon RE. *Organon of medicine* (5th and 6th edition). Calcutta: Bhattacharyya; 1976.